

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/10106030)

Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry

Photochemistry Photobiology .
Ai Chemi

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jphotochem

Photophysical characterization of imidazolium-substituted Pd(II), In(III), and Zn(II) porphyrins as photosensitizers for photodynamic therapy

Hooi Ling Keeª, Jayeeta Bhaumikʰ, James R. Diers¢, Pawel Mrozd,e, Michael R. Hamblind,e,f, David F. Bocian^c, Jonathan S. Lindsey^b, Dewey Holten^{a,∗}

^a *Department of Chemistry, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130-4899, United States*

^b *Department of Chemistry, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8204, United States*

^c *Department of Chemistry, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521-0403, United States*

^d *Wellman Center for Photomedicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114-2605, United States*

^e *Department of Dermatology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115-6092, United States*

^f *Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139-4307, United States*

article info

Article history: Received 15 May 2008 Received in revised form 11 July 2008 Accepted 16 August 2008 Available online 22 August 2008

Keywords: Photodynamic therapy Porphyrin Photosensitizer Imidazolium Photophysics

ABSTRACT

The photophysical properties of four imidazolium-substituted metalloporphyrins have been assessed to gain insights into the relative efficacy of the compounds for photodynamic therapy (PDT). A set of zinc(II), palladium(II), and chloro-indium(III) porphyrins all bear a net positive charge owing to the diethylimidazolium unit; one zinc chelate bears a negative charge owing to a bis(sulfobutyl)imidazolium unit. The photophysical properties of the cationic and anionic zinc porphyrins are very similar to one another in organic solvents, phosphate-buffered saline, and in the presence of bovine serum albumin. The properties of the zinc and palladium porphyrins bearing charged peripheral groups are generally similar to those of neutral analogs in organic solvents. The palladium porphyrin shows an essentially quantitative yield (≥0.99) of the triplet excited state compared to the zinc porphyrins (∼0.9), and all are quantitatively quenched (at the diffusion limit) by molecular oxygen in air-saturated fluid solution. If the rate constant and yield of quenching of the triplet excited state by energy or electron transfer to molecular oxygen is the same in the cellular environment as in solution, then these processes combined with the triplet yield contribute only a factor of 1.3 to the higher PDT activity of analogous palladium versus zinc porphyrins, which is much smaller than what is observed. Therefore, other factors such as transient reduction of the excited porphyrin or delivery to the target site must predominantly underlie the difference in PDT efficacy of these sensitizers.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is emerging as an important treatment for many diseases. Many applications of PDT involve killing undesirable disease-causing cells such as malignant cancer cells [\[1\]](#page-9-0) or pathogenic microorganisms [\[2\]. P](#page-9-0)DT is also used to destroy unwanted tissues such as tumors [\[3\], n](#page-9-0)ew blood vessels [\[4\], a](#page-9-0)nd atherosclerotic plaques [\[5\]. T](#page-9-0)he therapy involves the use of a dye or photosensitizer molecule that should localize in the target tissue, cell, or organelle, and which then leads to the formation of lethal reactive oxygen species upon light activation in the presence of molecular oxygen [\[6\]. T](#page-9-0)he photosensitizer should have a longlived triplet excited state that allows formation of reactive oxygen species by processes such as energy transfer from the photosensitizer to ground state oxygen to form singlet excited state oxygen (Type II mechanism), or electron transfer from the photosensitizer to ground state oxygen to form superoxide radical anion and species there from such as oxygen radicals (Type I mechanism) [\[7\].](#page-9-0)

Many photosensitizers are tetrapyrrole chromophores, which includes porphyrins, chlorins, bacteriochlorins, benzoporphyrins, and phthalocyanines [\[8\].](#page-9-0) Many of the clinically employed photosensitizers are porphyrins, which have only weak absorption bands in the red region of the spectrum where light has the ability to penetrate tissue. Newer photosensitizers under investigation and development have much higher absorption at red and nearinfrared wavelengths, where absorption by hemoglobin and other endogenous species is diminished. The four nitrogen atoms of the tetrapyrrole macrocycle efficiently chelate a variety of metal ions, and the chelated metal modulates some of the important photophysical parameters that affect the formation of the reactive oxygen species. Most naturally occurring tetrapyrrole compounds are metal chelates; for example, the heme cofactors of hemoglobin

[∗] Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 314 935 6502; fax: +1 314 935 4481. *E-mail address:* holten@wustl.edu (D. Holten).

^{1010-6030/\$ –} see front matter © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:[10.1016/j.jphotochem.2008.08.006](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2008.08.006)

and cytochromes are Fe(II) porphyrins, while chlorophyll or bacteriochlorophyll is a Mg(II) chlorin or bacteriochlorin, respectively.

A range of seemingly disparate tetrapyrrole structures containing metal chelates have been investigated as photosensitizers in clinical applications. Zinc(II) and aluminum(III) phthalocyanines have been studied as both unsubstituted compounds [\[9\]](#page-9-0) and with varying numbers of sulfonated groups attached to the benzo substituents [\[10\]. S](#page-9-0)ilicon(IV) is chelated in the phthalocyanine known as PC4 [\[11\].](#page-9-0) Tin(II) is chelated into an etiopurpurin ($SnET₂$) otherwise known as Purlytin [\[12\].](#page-9-0) Indium(III) is present in a chelate of pyropheophorbide methyl ester known as MV6401 [\[13\].](#page-9-0) The rare earth metal lutetium(III) is chelated into the tetrapyrrole macrocycle known as texaphyrin to produce motexafin lutetium [\[14\]. P](#page-9-0)alladium(II) is chelated into two bacteriochlorin compounds, bacteriopheophorbide (TOOKAD or WST-09) [\[15\]](#page-9-0) and its taurine derivative (WST-11) [\[16\], w](#page-9-0)hich have been used for vascular targeting.

In addition to the inherent photophysical characteristics of the chromophore, other important attributes to be considered in the design of potential photosensitizers are the chemical characteristics of the molecule. These characteristics govern uptake by various cell types, and also determine the pharmacokinetics, biodistribution and localization of the photosensitizer at the target site. Recent work has shown that the molecular charge as well as the degree of lipophilicity of the photosensitizers can be important in this regard. Photosensitizers with constitutive cationic charges such as quaternary ammonium groups have been shown to be highly effective antimicrobial photosensitizers compared to molecules that are negatively charged, neutral, or even bear basic amino groups [\[17,18\].](#page-9-0)

In the present report, we have examined the photophysical properties of a set of water-soluble imidazolium-substituted porphyrins that contain central zinc(II), palladium(II), and indium(III) ions. The four porphyrins are shown in Chart 1. Each porphyrin is of the *trans*-AB-type wherein a phenyl group is located at one meso-position, an imidazolium substituent is located at the *trans* meso-position, and the flanking meso-positions lack substituents. Porphyrins **1-Zn** and **2-Zn** are zinc(II) chelates, whereas **2-InCl** is a chloro-indium(III) chelate, and **2-Pd** is a palladium(II) chelate. The motivation for examining the photophysical properties of this set of compounds is to determine whether these properties correlate with the observed in vitro PDT activity (**2-Pd** > **2-InCl** > **2-Zn**> **1- Zn**; P. Mroz, J. Bhaumik, Z. Aly, H.L. Kee, D. Holten, J.S. Lindsey, M.R. Hamblin, submitted for publication). The difference in in vitro PDT activity for the four porphyrins is profound: **2-Pd** is about 4 times better than **2-InCl**, which in turn is about 4 times better than **2-Zn**, which in turn is about 10 times better than **1-Zn***.* To better understand the origin of the up to 160-fold difference in reactivity, the photophysical characteristics of the four porphyrins in a variety of media are compared with each other and with hydrophobic porphyrin counterparts in organic solvents. Taken together, the results provide a foundation for evaluating the relative PDT activity of the four porphyrins in terms of the contribution of the central metal ion and the overall charge on the sensitizer.

2. Experimental methods

The synthesis of porphyrins **1-Zn**, **2-Zn**, and **2-Pd** has been reported [\[19\]. T](#page-9-0)he synthesis of **2-InCl** will be described elsewhere. The absorbance and fluorescence spectra, fluorescence yields and excited singlet-state lifetimes of **1-Zn**, **2-Zn**, **2-Pd**, and **2-InCl** were investigated at room temperature in several media: (i) a 3:1 mixture of tetrahydrofuran and methanol (THF/MeOH); (ii) standard aqueous phosphate-buffered saline solution containing 10 mM Na3PO4, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, pH 7.4 (PBS); (iii) the

same PBS solution containing 0.1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (PBS/BSA); (iv) a phosphate-buffered saline solution with a 10 fold increase in the phosphate concentration, namely 100 mM $Na₃PO₄$, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, pH 7.4 (cPBS); and (v) the same cPBS solution containing 0.1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (cPBS/BSA). To prepare the above aqueous solutions, the porphyrin was first dissolved in a drop of dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and diluted using PBS, cPBS, PBS/BSA or cPBS/BSA to the desired porphyrin concentration, with the final volume of DMSO being <1% of the total. Phosphorescence spectral studies on **1-Zn**, **2-Zn**, **2- Pd**, and **2-InCl** porphyrins at 295 K utilized samples in THF/MeOH; studies at 77 K utilized an ethanol glass. Studies on the standard compounds zinc(II)-*meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin (**ZnTPP**) and palladium(II)-*meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin (**PdTPP**) at 295 K utilized toluene, tetrahydrofuran, or pyridine; studies at 77 K used 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (2-MeTHF).

Static absorption (Cary 100) and fluorescence (Spex Fluorolog2) measurements were performed using dilute (μM) solutions. Fluorescence lifetimes were obtained using a phase modulation technique [\[20\].](#page-9-0) Argon-purged solutions with an absorbance of \leq 0.10 at the Soret-band excitation wavelength were used for the

fluorescence spectral and lifetime measurements. Fluorescence spectra were acquired at 0.2 nm data intervals using a band pass for the excitation and detection monochromators of 1.5 and 3.3 nm, respectively, and were corrected for detection-system spectral response. Fluorescence quantum yields were determined using argon-purged solutions and excitation at the peak of the Soret band (405–425 nm) relative to free base *meso*-tetraphenylporphyrin (**FbTPP**) in toluene $[\Phi_f = 0.09]$ [\[21\], a](#page-9-0)nd its zinc chelate **ZnTPP** in benzene $[\Phi_f = 0.030]$ [\[22\]. T](#page-9-0)he fluorescence quantum yields were corrected for solvent refractive index and averaged.

Yields of the lowest excited triplet state (Φ_{isc}) were obtained using argon-purged samples and a transient-absorption technique similar to that described previously [\[23,24\]. T](#page-9-0)he extent of bleaching of the ground-state Q(1,0) band at ∼500 nm (relative to the featureless transient absorption) due to the lowest singlet excited state was measured immediately following a 130 fs flash in either the Soret (418 nm) or Q (554–602 nm) bands. The amplitude of this signal was compared to that due to the lowest triplet excited state, which was derived from two methods. In the first method, the triplet bleaching signal was taken as the long-time asymptote of the fitted exponential singlet excited state bleaching decay (4-ns time course) with the time constant fixed at the fluorescence lifetime. In the second method, the triplet bleaching was measured directly at a long (∼20 ns) time delay. The triplet yields obtained using the different methods were averaged. For **2-Pd** and **2-InCl**, the excited singlet-state lifetime is sufficiently short so that a delay time of only a few nanoseconds was necessary to accurately determine the triplet-state bleaching using the second method.

The lifetimes of the lowest energy triplet excited state ($\tau_{\rm T}$) for **1-Zn**, **2-Zn**, and **2-Pd** were measured at room temperature on compounds in ethanol rigorously degassed by the freeze-pump-thaw method (10 cycles each) on a high vacuum system (<1 μ m pressure) and sealed. For some solvents, purging with argon or nitrogen even for >1 h is not sufficient to eliminate the contribution of oxygen quenching. Triplet lifetimes on these three porphyrins were also measured at 77 K in frozen ethanol glasses. Due to its diffusional nature, any residual contribution from quenching by $O₂$ at room temperature is effectively eliminated in the low-temperature glass. Triplet lifetimes were not measured for **2-InCl** because verifiable phosphorescence was not observed (vide infra). For comparison, triplet decay profiles were also measured for **ZnTPP** and **PdTPP** in 2-MeTHF degassed solutions at room temperature and glasses at 77 K.

Triplet lifetimes were determined using ∼7 ns, ∼6 mJ, 532 nm excitation flashes from a Q-switched Nd:YAG laser (Quanta Ray DCR-3, Spectra-Physics) and detection with a photomultiplier (H6780-20, Hamamatsu). The signal was sent to a 50 Ω input of a 1.5 GHz, 20 gigasample/s digital oscilloscope (TDS7154, Tektronix). The resulting decay traces were fitted to the sum of a Gaussian instrument profile plus a single exponential plus a constant (Igor Pro, Wavemetrics). Alternatively, the lifetimes were obtained by deleting the time points encompassing the instrument profile, and the remainder were fitted to a single exponential plus a constant (Origin, Microcal OriginLab). This method gave the same lifetimes within the reported error limits to that using the full data set, and hence was used for the majority of the analyses.

The room temperature triplet lifetimes were obtained using excitation flashes that were sufficiently weak to effectively eliminate contributions from triplet–triplet annihilation. This was assessed for **PdTPP** when a further reduction in flash strength did not affect the decay profile or the resulting lifetime obtained from a single-exponential fit. Similarly **2-Pd** did not change with a >10 fold reduction in flash intensity from the level used to obtain the reported lifetimes. Additionally, due to the diffusional nature of triplet–triplet annihilation, any residual contribution from these

Fig. 1. Absorption spectra (solid) and emission spectra (dashed for deoxygenated sample and dotted for oxygen-containing sample) for **2-Zn** (A), **1-Zn** (B), **2-Pd** (C), and **2-InCl** (D) in THF/MeOH (3:1) at room temperature.

processes at room temperature is effectively eliminated in the lowtemperature glass.

The molar absorption (extinction) coefficients (ε) for **ZnTPP**, **ZnOEP**, and **PdOEP** (OEP is 2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethylporphyrin) in toluene were determined by dissolving a measured amount in 25 mL of toluene. The stock solution was then diluted $20\times$ for absorption measurement. The value of ε was calculated for three trials and averaged from the absorbance at the peak of the Soret band. The values (vide infra) agree with those in literature [\[25–27\].](#page-9-0)

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Absorption spectra in organic solvents

The electronic ground-state absorption spectra of the four metalloporphyrins **1-Zn**, **2-Zn**, **2-Pd**, and **2-InCl** ([Chart 1\)](#page-1-0) in THF/MeOH solution at room temperature are shown in Fig. 1 (solid lines). The spectrum of each compound shows a strong near-UV

Table 1

Soret (B) band (corresponding to $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$) and a pair of weaker visible $Q(1,0)$ and $Q(0,0)$ bands (corresponding to $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$). The peak wavelengths and intensity ratios are listed in Table 1. Several differences in principle could influence relative PDT activity. The full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) of the Soret band increases in the order **1-Zn** (11 nm) ∼ **2-Zn** (12 nm) < **2-Pd** (24 nm) < **2-InCl** (38 nm) in THF/MeOH. The Q(0,0)/Q(1,0) ratio increases in the order **1-Zn** (0.4) < **2-Zn** (0.7) < **2-Pd** (1.0) < **2-InCl** (2.3). The Soret and Q features of palladium porphyrin **2-Pd** are generally blue shifted from those of the two zinc porphyrins (**1-Zn** and **2-Zn**), whereas those for chloro-indium porphyrin (**2-InCl**) are red shifted.

The modest differences in the absorption characteristics of **1-Zn** versus **2-Zn** must arise from another type of interaction because the sulfonate groups of **1-Zn** are not conjugated to the macrocycle. In this regard, the four-carbon alkyl linkages between the imidazolium and sulfonate groups of **1-Zn** permit the latter group to extend above the plane of the macrocycle. This would place a negative charge in close proximity to the π -electron cloud of the porphyrin and perturb the molecular-orbital energies and thus the optical spectra [\[28\]. A](#page-9-0)dditionally, the alkyl linkages may be sufficiently long to allow a sulfonate oxygen to coordinate to the central zinc ion of the same (or another) porphyrin. Interactions of this type are not possible for the other three metalloporphyrins ([Chart 1\).](#page-1-0)

The spectral differences between **2-Pd**and **2-Zn**or **1-Zn**are generally similar to those observed for other types of palladium versus zinc porphyrins. The Soret FWHM is greater for **PdTPP** (17 nm) than **ZnTPP** (12 nm) in toluene, and this is accompanied by a decreased peak extinction coefficient. The latter point is illustrated by the following comparisons of values determined here or previously (units M−¹ cm−1): **PdTPP** (417 nm) (202 000 [\[25\]\) v](#page-9-0)ersus **ZnTPP** (423 nm) (571 000; 574 000 [\[26\]\)](#page-9-0) and **PdOEP** (392 nm) (231 000; 191 000 [\[27\]\)](#page-9-0) versus **ZnOEP** (403 nm) (403 000; 417 000 [\[26\]\).](#page-9-0)

The increased breadth of the spectral features of **2-InCl** [\(Fig. 1D](#page-2-0)) compared to the other porphyrins may reflect the presence of multiple species that differ in the solvent coordination to the central metal ion. Plausibly, the solvent may also displace the chloride counterion, thereby affecting the position of the metal with respect to the mean macrocycle plane and thus the absorption spectrum.

The shifts in the Q band-positions reflect differences in the energy of the porphyrin S_1 excited state in the order $Pd(II)$ > $Zn(II)$ > $In(III)Cl$ (Table 1). The change in the $Q(0,0)/Q(1,0)$ intensity ratio with metal ion undoubtedly reflects changes in the relative energies of the frontier molecular orbitals and thus the overall oscillator strength of the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ absorption contour [\[29\].](#page-9-0)

A potential contributor to PDT activity is the difference among the metalloporphyrins in the propensity to bind axial ligands to the central metal ion. In order to assess this difference for the imidazolium-substituted palladium (**2-Pd**) and zinc (**1-Zn**, **2-Zn**) porphyrins, spectra were acquired for the reference compounds (**ZnTPP** and **PdTPP**) in several organic solvents that differ in metalcoordination strength ([Fig. 2\).](#page-4-0) Axial ligation to a metalloporphyrin generally results in shifts of all the absorption features and a change in the intensity of the $Q(0,0)$ band with respect to the $Q(1,0)$ band. For example, axial ligation of **ZnTPP** red shifts the absorption features and increases the $Q(0,0)/Q(1,0)$ intensity ratio. [Fig. 2\(A](#page-4-0)) demonstrates the well-known behavior that the central zinc ion of **ZnTPP** is coordinated strongly by pyridine and to a lesser degree by THF; coordination by toluene does not occur. On the other hand, the Q band ratio is not perturbed for **PdTPP** even in pyridine ([Fig. 2B\)](#page-4-0). The solvent only marginally shifts the Soret feature, which can be attributed to solvent polarity rather than axial ligation. Thus, the zinc porphyrins (e.g., **2-Zn**) have a much greater propensity for axial ligation than palladium porphyrins (e.g., **2-Pd**).

3.2. Absorption spectra in aqueous media

Absorption spectra were acquired for the porphyrins in aqueous PBS and in the presence of bovine serum albumin (PBS/BSA) to explore some of the types of interactions that may be present in the biological milieu [\(Fig. 3\).](#page-4-0) The differences from the spectra in THF/MeOH are largest in the Soret region, with relatively small perturbations to the Q bands (Table 1). [Fig. 3\(B](#page-4-0)) shows that **2-Zn** has

Fig. 2. Absorption spectra of **ZnTPP** (A) and **PdTPP** (B) in toluene (solid), THF (dashed), and pyridine (dotted) at 295 K.

an additional component on the long wavelength side of the Soret feature in PBS that collapses upon the addition of BSA (except for a small band shift from the position in THF/MeOH). These observations can be explained by aggregates of positively charged **2-Zn** formed in PBS being disrupted by the binding to the overall negatively charged BSA protein [\[30\], w](#page-9-0)hich also shifts the band due to a dielectric effect.

Similar behavior is observed for **1-Zn** (Fig. 3A), although the effect of BSA is less pronounced than for **2-Zn** because in this case the porphyrin (like BSA) bears an overall negative charge. Aggregation of **2-Pd** in PBS is also disrupted by BSA (Fig. 3C); residual aggregation or a greater heterogeneity of porphyrin-medium interactions can explain the increased spectral width in aqueous versus THF/MeOH solutions. The presence of aggregation and effects of BSA are less apparent for **2-InCl** (Fig. 3D).

3.3. Emission spectra

Emission spectra for the four porphyrins in deoxygenated THF/MeOH solutions at room temperature are shown in [Fig. 1](#page-2-0) (dashed lines). For zinc porphyrins **1-Zn** and **2-Zn**, the emission spectra are dominated by $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ fluorescence [\(Fig. 1A](#page-2-0) and B). For both compounds, the shorter-wavelength feature is the Q(0,0) band and the longer-wavelength feature is the Q(0,1) vibronic satellite. The Q(0,0) fluorescence peak is shifted by [∼]5 nm (∼180 cm−1) from the corresponding absorption maximum [\(Table 1\).](#page-3-0) The difference in the intensity ratio of the two fluorescence bands for **1-Zn** and **2-Zn** qualitatively parallels that observed for the absorption bands.

The emission spectrum for **2-InCl** is also dominated by $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ fluorescence ([Fig. 1D](#page-2-0)). The nominal Q(0,0) fluorescence feature for this compound has several underlying components that parallel those seen in the Q(0,0) absorption profile; whatever the molecular origin (e.g., axial-ligation states, macrocycle conformation), the different molecular components are clearly emissive. The emission

Fig. 3. Room temperature Soret-region absorption spectra of the metalloporphyrins in THF/MeOH (3:1) (solid), PBS (dashed), and PBS/BSA (dotted).

spectrum of **2-Pd** is much different from the other three com-pounds [\(Fig. 1C](#page-2-0)). The $Q(0,0)$ and $Q(0,1)$ fluorescence features for this compound are quite weak and are barely observed at the expected positions with respect to the origin absorption band in deoxygenated solution. Instead, the emission spectrum is dominated by $T_1 \rightarrow S_0$ phosphorescence bands, T(0,0) and T(0,1), that lie at substantially longer wavelengths ([Table 1\).](#page-3-0) Upon introduction of oxygen into the sample, the phosphorescence is dramatically quenched, so that only fluorescence is observed. The emission spectrum for **2-Pd** in oxygenated THF/MeOH solution is shown in [Fig. 1\(C](#page-2-0)) (dotted line).

The relative intensities of the fluorescence and phosphorescence emission for the porphyrins were examined further in ethanol glasses at 77 K ([Fig. 4\)](#page-5-0). The frozen medium results in sharper bands than in fluid solution at room temperature and eliminates diffusion-controlled quenching of the (phosphorescent) triplet excited state by any residual trace $O₂$ and by triplet-triplet encounters. Each spectrum in [Fig. 4](#page-5-0) is normalized to the peak intensity of the strongest emission band, which is the $Q(1,0)$ fluorescence peak for **1-Zn** and **2-Zn** and the T(0,0) phosphorescence feature for **2- Pd**. Although fluorescence was observed for **2-InCl** at 77 K ([Table 1\),](#page-3-0)

Fig. 4. Emission spectra of the **2-Zn** (A), **1-Zn** (B), and **2-Pd** (C) in ethanol glass at 77 K. The features at wavelengths shorter than ∼640 nm are from fluorescence and those to longer wavelengths are phosphorescence.

phosphorescence could not be verified by excitation spectra; thus, a low-temperature emission spectrum for this complex is not shown.

The low-temperature emission spectra for the two zinc porphyrins are basically identical to one another (Fig. 4A and B) with the following characteristics: Q(0,0), 579 nm; Q(0,1), 633 nm; $Q(2,0)$, 685 nm; T(0,0), 738 nm; T(0,1), 823 nm. The T(0,0) position places the lowest triplet excited state (T_1) at 1.68 eV above the S_0 ground state, and the spacing from the $Q(0,0)$ band places the T₁ state 0.46 eV (3710 cm⁻¹) below the S₁ excited state [\(Table 2\).](#page-6-0) These values are comparable to those found here ([Table 2\)](#page-6-0) and previously [\[29\]](#page-9-0) for **ZnTPP**. The ratio of the integrated phosphorescence profile [encompassing $T(0,0)$ and $T(0,1)$] and the fluorescence profile [encompassing $Q(0,0)$, $Q(0,1)$ and $Q(0,2)$] gives the ratio of the phosphorescence to fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_{p}/Φ_{f}). This quantum-yield ratio is 0.11 for **1-Zn** and 0.14 for **2-Zn** at 77 K, which are comparable to the ratio of 0.11 for **ZnTPP** [\(Table 2\).](#page-6-0)

The low-temperature emission spectrum for **2-Pd** (Fig. 4C), like that at room temperature [\(Fig. 1C](#page-2-0)), exhibits strong phosphorescence but only very weak fluorescence. The features are Q(0,0), 542 nm; Q(0,1), 590 nm; Q(2,0), 620 nm; T(0,0), 657 nm; T(0,1), 732 nm. The $T(0,0)$ position places the T_1 excited state at 1.89 eV about the ground state, which is 0.21 eV higher than for the two zinc porphyrins. The Q(0,0)–T(0,0) spacing for **2-Pd** corresponds to a singlet-triplet splitting of 0.40 eV (3226 cm−1), which is comparable to that for **PdTPP** and only marginally smaller than that for **1-Zn, 2-Zn** and **ZnTPP** [\(Table 2\).](#page-6-0) The value of Φ_p/Φ_f is 650 for **2-Pd**, which is comparable to Φ_p/Φ_f > 460 for **PdTPP** and roughly 5000-fold greater than the values for **1-Zn** and **2-Zn**.

Fluorescence for **2-InCl** is also observed in a 77-K ethanol glass ([Table 1\)](#page-3-0) and has similar characteristics to the room temperature spectrum in THF/MeOH solution [\(Fig. 1D](#page-2-0)). The singlet-triplet splittings observed for the zinc and palladium porphyrins, together with the significantly red-shifted position of the Q(0,0) band for **2-InCl** ([Fig. 1D](#page-2-0)), predict that the T(0,0) phosphorescence for the latter complex should be found near 820 nm (∼1.5 eV above the ground state). Although weak emission features were observed between 750 and 870 nm for **2-InCl** at 77 K, they could not be unambiguously assigned to the parent complex by excitation spectroscopy.

3.4. Singlet excited state lifetimes, fluorescence yields, and triplet yields

The lifetime of the lowest singlet excited state ($\tau_{\rm S}$), the fluorescence quantum yield (Φ_f), and the yield of intersystem crossing to the lowest triplet excited state ($\Phi_{\rm{isc}}$) for each of the four porphyrins in THF/MeOH at 295 K are given in [Table 2. T](#page-6-0)he fluorescence yields for zinc porphyrins **1-Zn** and **2-Zn** (∼0.015) are 2-fold smaller than for **ZnTPP** (0.03) whereas the excited state lifetimes are about 30% longer (3.0 ns versus 2.1 ns) [\[22,31\]. T](#page-9-0)he close similarities in properties of **1-Zn** and **2-Zn** indicate that the net charge on the meso substituent has little impact. Furthermore, the differences from reference porphyrin **ZnTPP** are modest and must simply be due to the presence of two versus four meso aryl substituents. In addition, for both **1-Zn** and **2-Zn**, the τ_{S} and \varPhi_{f} values are similar in aqueous solution to those found in THF/MeOH, and there is little effect upon the addition of BSA ([Table 1\).](#page-3-0)

Compared to the zinc porphyrins, chloro-indium porphyrin **2- InCl** is less fluorescent ($\Phi_f \sim 0.008$) and has a 13-fold shorter singlet excited state lifetime (240 ps). These values are comparable to the quantum yields and lifetimes for dichloro-tin(IV) porphyrins, which the S₁ and T₁ states are also expected to be (π,π^*) in nature because the metal has a closed 3d-shell [\[23,32\].](#page-9-0)

The differences in excited-state properties are even more prominent for **2-Pd**, which is barely fluorescent (Φ_f = 1.2 × 10⁻⁴) and has a singlet excited state lifetime (9 ps) that is about 300-fold shorter than those for **2-Zn** and **1-Zn**. The fluorescence quantum yield for **2-Pd** is comparable to that for **PdTPP** [\[25,29\].](#page-9-0) This Φ_f combined with an average natural radiative rate constant of $k_f \sim (60 \text{ ns})^{-1}$ for metallotetraphenylporphyrins [\[22,29\]](#page-9-0) predicts $\tau_S = \Phi_f / k_f = \Phi_f$ $(60 \text{ ns})^{-1}$ ~ 10 ps for **PdTPP**, in good agreement with the value measured here for **2-Pd**.

The measured intersystem-crossing yields [\(Table 2\)](#page-6-0) increase in the order: **2-Pd** (0.88) > **2-InCl** (0.83) > **2-Zn** and **1-Zn** (0.79 and 0.69). Given that the uncertainty $(\pm 10\%)$ in these values somewhat obscures the true impact of the metal ion on the intersystemcrossing process, an additional estimate for Φ_{isc} for each compound is derived below.

3.5. Decay properties of the lowest-energy singlet excited state

The observables $\tau_{\mathsf{S}}, \varPhi_{\mathsf{f}},$ and \varPhi_{isc} for decay of the lowest-energy singlet excited state are connected to the rate constants for $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ spontaneous fluorescence (k_f) , $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ internal conversion (k_{ic}) , and $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ intersystem crossing (k_{isc}) via Eqs. (1)–(3).

$$
\tau_{\rm S} = (k_{\rm f} + k_{\rm ic} + k_{\rm isc})^{-1} \tag{1}
$$

$$
\Phi_{\rm f} = \frac{k_{\rm f}}{k_{\rm f} + k_{\rm ic} + k_{\rm isc}}\tag{2}
$$

$$
\Phi_{\text{isc}} = \frac{k_{\text{isc}}}{k_{\text{f}} + k_{\text{ic}} + k_{\text{isc}}}
$$
\n(3)

^a Unless noted otherwise, studies on 1-Zn, 2-Zn, 2-Zn, 2-Pd, and 2-InCl at 295 K utilized samples in MeOH/THF=3:1, and studies at 77 K utilized samples in frozen ethanol glasses. Studies on ZnTPP and PdTPP utilized sampl in toluene, except for determinations of τ_{T} , which used 2-MeTHF solutions (295 K) or frozen glasses (77 K).

^b Calculated using Eq. [\(4\).](#page-7-0)

 c Calculated using Eq. [\(5\).](#page-7-0)

d Calculated using Eq. [\(6\)](#page-7-0) and the value of *k*ic ⁼ (16.2 ns)−¹ for **ZnTPP**.

e Calculated using Eq. [\(7\)](#page-7-0) and the value in the preceding column.

 $^{\rm f}$ Obtained by multiplying the $\Phi_{\rm p}/\Phi_{\rm f}$ (obtained from the emission spectra at 77 K) times the value of $\Phi_{\rm f}$ determined at 295 K, assuming that $\Phi_{\rm f}$ is not affected substantially by temperature [29,

^g The values in cPBS and cPBS/BSA are 2.5 and 2.7 ns, respectively.

h The values in cPBS and cPBS/BSA are 0.019 and 0.020, respectively.

ⁱ The values in cPBS and cPBS/BSA are (132 ns)⁻¹ and (135 ns)⁻¹, respectively.

^j Compound in ethanol.

^k The values in cPBS and cPBS/BSA are 2.6 and 2.7 ns, respectively.

¹ The values in cPBS and cPBS/BSA are 0.019 and 0.014, respectively.

m The values in cPBS and cPBS/BSA are $(136 \text{ ns})^{-1}$ and $(193 \text{ ns})^{-1}$, respectively.

n Obtained assuming an average value of *E*^S [−] *E*^T ⁼ ³⁵⁰⁰ cm[−]¹ from **1-Zn**, **2-Zn**, and **2-Pd**.

 \textdegree Measured in toluene in ref. [\[31\].](#page-9-0) A value of 2.2 ns is measured in toluene in ref. [\[49\].](#page-9-0) A value of 2.7 ns is measured in methylcyclohexane in ref. [\[34\].](#page-9-0)

 P Measured in toluene in refs. 22 and 49, and in methylcyclohexane in ref. [\[34\].](#page-9-0)

^q The same value is derived in ref. [\[49\]](#page-9-0) and a value of 59 in ref. [\[34\].](#page-9-0)

 r Derived from the values obtained in ref. [\[50\]](#page-9-0) (0.83) and here (0.9).

s A value of 2.8 ns is reported in ref. [\[34\].](#page-9-0)

 t Additional values are reported in refs. [\[49\]](#page-9-0) (0.37) and [\[51\]](#page-9-0) (0.32).

 μ Additional values are reported in refs. [\[49\]](#page-9-0) (25) and [\[34\]](#page-9-0) (26).

 $\rm v$ Additional values are reported in refs. [\[34\]](#page-9-0) (0.012) and [\[49\]](#page-9-0) (0.015).

 W Additional values are reported in refs. [\[49\]](#page-9-0) (1700) and [\[34\]](#page-9-0) (2000).

x Calculated as described in the text. A value of 0.02 ns near the instrument limit is reported in ref. [\[34\].](#page-9-0)

^y Averaged of values from refs. [\[52\]](#page-9-0) (0.00015), [\[25\]](#page-9-0) (0.0002), and [\[34\]](#page-9-0) (0.0002).

² Calculated as described in the text. A value of 0.02 is derived in ref. [\[34\].](#page-9-0)

 $^{\rm A}$ No fluorescence is observed, so the ratio is calculated based on the phosphorescence intensity above the baseline.

 B Additional values are reported in refs. [\[35\]](#page-9-0) (2.4) and [\[34\]](#page-9-0) (2.8).

^C Additional values are reported in refs. [\[52\]](#page-9-0) (0.08), [\[34\]](#page-9-0) (0.17), and [\[35\]](#page-9-0) (0.2).

The radiative rate constant can be calculated from the observables via Eq. (4).

$$
k_{\rm f} = \frac{\Phi_{\rm f}}{\tau_{\rm S}}\tag{4}
$$

Similarly the intersystem-crossing rate constant can be calculated via Eq. (5).

$$
k_{\rm isc} = \frac{\Phi_{\rm isc}}{\tau_{\rm S}}\tag{5}
$$

A second estimate for the intersystem crossing rate constant is obtained via Eq. (6).

$$
k_{\rm isc}^{\rm ref} = (\tau_{\rm S})^{-1} - k_{\rm f} - k_{\rm ic}
$$

The "ref" denotation indicates that the calculation in Eq. (6) for **1-Zn**, **2-Zn**, **2-Pd**, and **2-InCl** utilizes a common value of $k_{ic} = (16.2 \text{ ns})^{-1}$ derived for **ZnTPP** (using Eqs. [\(1\)–\(4\)](#page-5-0) and the associated $\tau_{\mathsf{S}}, \varPhi_{\mathsf{f}},$ and \varPhi_{isc} values). The assumption of a (relatively) constant $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ internal-conversion rate constant ($k_{\rm ic}$) among the related meso-substituted metalloporphyrins is reasonable because the molecules have similar structural characteristics and a comparable singlet energy gap from the ground state. Subsequently, the value of $k_{\text{isc}}^{\text{ref}}$ obtained from Eq. (6) for each compound was used to obtain an additional estimate for the intersystem-crossing yield via Eq. (7).

$$
\Phi_{\text{isc}}^{\text{ref}} = k_{\text{isc}}^{\text{ref}} \cdot \tau \tag{7}
$$

The rate constant and yield obtained using Eqs. [\(1\)–\(7\)](#page-5-0) for each metalloporphyrin are given in [Table 2. T](#page-6-0)he radiative rate constant (k_f) varies with the central metal ion as follows: **1-Zn** $[Zn(II)]$, (214 ns)−1] [∼] **2-Zn** [Zn(II), (200 ns)−1] < **2-Pd** [Pd(II), (75 ns)−1] < **2- InCl** [Cl-In(III), (31 ns)⁻¹]. This trend tracks the Q-absorption oscillator strength as exemplified by the $Q(0,0)/Q(1,0)$ intensity ratio ([Fig. 1,](#page-2-0) [Table 1\)](#page-3-0): **1-Zn** (0.4) < **2-Zn** (0.7) < **2-Pd** (1.0) < **2- InCl** (2.3). The Q(0,0)/Q(1,0) tracks the $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ oscillator strength because the Q(1,0) intensity is derived from vibronic borrowing from the Soret transition and is thus relatively constant [\[29,33\].](#page-9-0) In turn, the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ (stimulated) absorption oscillator strength is proportional to k_f for $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$ (spontaneous) fluorescence via the Einstein coefficients.

As noted above, the four metalloporphyrins exhibit modest differences in the measured yields of $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ intersystem crossing (Φ_{isc}): **2-Pd** (0.88) > **2-InCl** (0.83) > **2-Zn** (0.79) > **1-Zn** (0.69). These values together with the S₁ lifetime (τ_{S}) and Eq. (5) give the intersystem-crossing rate constants (*k*isc): **2-Pd** [(10 ps)−1] > **2-InCl** [(290 ps)−1] > **2-Zn** [(3.8 ns)−1] > **1-Zn** [(4.3 ns)−1]. Although the *k*isc values exhibit the same trend as $\Phi_{\rm{isc}}$, the spread is much greater and better indicates differences among the complexes. Nonetheless, the uncertainties in the Φ_{isc} determinations transform into uncertainties in the k_{isc} values. Thus, the effect of the metal ion on the intersystem-crossing process is better reflected in the $k_{\rm{isc}}^{\rm{ref}}$ and $\varPhi_{\rm{isc}}^{\rm{ref}}$ values, which follow the trend **2-Pd** [Pd(II), (9 ps)−1, >0.99] > **2-InCl** [Cl-In(III), (250 ps)−1, 0.98] > **1-Zn** [Zn(II), (3.7 ns)−1, 0.8] [∼] **2-Zn** [Zn(II), $(3.8 \text{ ns})^{-1}$, 0.8]. The values necessarily track the measured singlet excited state decay rate (i.e., the inverse of the observed lifetime -S): **2-Pd** (9 ps)−¹ > **2-InCl** (240 ps)−¹ > **1-Zn** (3 ns)−¹ [∼] **2-Zn** $(3 \text{ ns})^{-1}$.

The large differences between **2-Pd** versus **1-Zn** and **2-Zn** are expected due to the heavy-atom effect on the spin-orbit coupling that drives the $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ conversion [\[29,34\]. D](#page-9-0)ue to similar atomic numbers, In(III) might be expected to have a comparable spin-orbit effect as Pd(II); however the latter may be more effective due to stronger interactions with the porphyrin core-nitrogen atoms via d-orbital participation in π -backbonding.

3.6. Decay properties of the lowest energy triplet excited state

The T_1 decay profiles in frozen glasses at 77K are single exponential (no significant O_2 -quenching or triplet–triplet annihilation effects) with time constants (τ _T) that reflect the intrinsic decay processes ($T_1 \rightarrow S_0$ phosphorescence and $T_1 \rightarrow S_0$ intersystem crossing). The $\tau_{\rm T}$ values for the two zinc porphyrins **1-Zn** and **2-Zn** at 77 K are 64 and 54 ms, respectively. These lifetimes are about 2-fold longer than the lifetimes obtained here [\(Table 2\)](#page-6-0) and previously [\[29,34\]](#page-9-0) for **ZnTPP**. The value τ _T = 2.0 ms for **2-Pd** is comparable to the values found here [\(Table 2\)](#page-6-0) and previously [\[34,35\]](#page-9-0) for **PdTPP**. For each compound, the value of τ is input into Eq. (8) to obtain a value for the phosphorescence rate constant k_p using the values for the yields of intersystem-crossing ($\Phi_{\text{isc}}^{\text{ref}}$) and phosphorescence (Φ_{p}) given in [Table 2.](#page-6-0)

$$
k_{\rm p} = \frac{\Phi_{\rm p}}{\Phi_{\rm iso}^{\rm ref} \cdot \tau_{\rm T}}\tag{8}
$$

The resulting k_p value for **2-Pd** [(26 ms)⁻¹] is 1000-fold greater than for **2-Zn** and **1-Zn** $[(21 s)^{-1}$ and $(33 s)^{-1}]$, consistent with heavy-atom enhancement of spin-orbit coupling.

3.7. Triplet decay at room temperature and quenching by molecular oxygen

The $\tau_{\rm T}$ values for **2-Zn, 1-Zn** and **ZnTPP** in fluid solution at 295 K (6.1, 4.5, 4.6 ms) are considerably shorter than those found in the frozenmedium at 77 K (33, 54, 64 ms). The triplet lifetime for**PdTPP** at room temperature (110 μ s) is again about 50-fold shorter than for the zinc porphyrins, like that at low temperature (1.5 ms). Surprisingly, the τ_{T} value for **2-Pd** at 295 K is 10-fold shorter (10 μ s) than that for **PdTPP** even though they have essentially the same lifetime at 77 K (2.0 ms). The lifetime for **2-Pd** is identical (10 μ s) in degassed ethanol and 2-MeTHF, so the difference from **PdTPP** in 2-MeTHF is not due to a solvent effect. The shorter τ_{T} for **2-Pd** cannot be ascribed to residual triplet–triplet annihilation because the decay is single exponential and remains at $10 \,\mu s$ even with a 10-fold reduction in flash strength (where annihilation did not contribute for **PdTPP**). Thus, the short room temperature τ _T for **2-Pd** is unexplained.

Although the triplet lifetimes for **2-Pd** and **PdTPP** in degassed solution at room temperature differ by an order of magnitude, the lifetime for both compounds in air-saturated 2-MeTHF (and in ethanol for **2-Pd**) is the same (300 ns). This value is comparable to the lifetime obtained previously for **PdTPP** in air-saturated toluene [\[25\].](#page-9-0) Because this lifetime is substantially shorter than those obtained for both palladium porphyrins in degassed solution, and assuming minimal or no triplet–triplet annihilation, the decay kinetics are dominated by the term $k_q[O_2]$. Assuming an O_2 concentration of 2 mM in the air-saturated organic solvent [\[36,37\],](#page-9-0) a lifetime of 300 ns corresponds to a quenching rate constant of $k_q = 1.7 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Analogous studies on zinc porphyrins **2-Zn**, **1-Zn** and **ZnTPP** in air-saturated 2-MeTHF give triplet lifetimes of ∼100 ns and $k_q \sim 5 \times 10^9$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹; the errors in the latter determinations are larger than those for the palladium porphyrins due to the significantly reduced phosphorescence intensities.

The *k*^q values obtained above are comparable to the rate constants obtained for $O₂$ quenching of the triplet excited states of a variety of porphyrins [\[38\]](#page-9-0) and inorganic complexes [\[39\]. T](#page-9-0)he values are about one-ninth of the (diffusion-limited) rate of $O₂$ quenching by singlet excited states, with the reduction factor being ascribed to spin restrictions for triplet–triplet energy transfer to produce two singlet states (excited O_2 and ground-state porphyrin)

[\[40–42\].](#page-9-0) On the basis of prior analyses of oxygen quenching of excited chromophores [\[37\],](#page-9-0) the observed lifetimes and derived quenching rate constant imply that essentially every (>90%) triplet state produced for these porphyrins in air-saturated solution is quenched by reaction with O_2 .

3.8. Implications of the photophysical properties for relative PDT activity

As noted in Section [1,](#page-0-0) the in vitro PDT activity differs for the four porphyrins: **2-Pd** is about 4 times better than **2-InCl**, which in turn is about 4 times better than **2-Zn**, which in turn is about 10 times better than **1-Zn***.* The PDT activity was assayed in murine CT26 colon adenocarcinoma and human HeLa cervical carcinoma cells. Differences between the compounds for cell uptake and related assays were performed. A detailed discussion of these measurements, results, and the mechanism(s) of PDT activity will be presented elsewhere (P. Mroz, J. Bhaumik, Z. Aly, H.L. Kee, D. Holten, J.S. Lindsey, M.R. Hamblin, submitted for publication). The following provides a foundation for understanding the extent to which the photophysical properties investigated herein contribute to the observed differences in PDT activity.

Given that the photophysical behavior of the two zinc porphyrins (**2-Zn** and **1-Zn**) are identical except for small differences in spectral characteristics, the observed 10-fold differences in PDT activity of these two compounds must derive from the two (negatively charged) sulfonate-terminated alkyl groups attached to the imidazolium substituent of **1-Zn** [\(Chart 1\).](#page-1-0) As can be seen from [Fig. 2,](#page-4-0) these two molecules clearly differ in their potential interactions with proteins (e.g., BSA). In this regard, there is evidence that cationic PDT agents better localize than anionic analogues in certain types of cells [\[17,18\].](#page-9-0)

The remaining discussion focuses on the homologous set of porphyrins (**2-Pd**, **2-Zn**, **2-InCl**) bearing the same meso-substituents (phenyl and imidazolium). For the broad-band illumination $(400-700 \text{ nm}; 10)/\text{cm}^2$ used in the in vitro PDT experiments, the (comparable) absorption properties of the compounds should not play a dominant role in dictating the relative PDT activities. Although the trend in $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ intersystem-crossing yields [2-Pd] (>0.99), **2-Zn** (0.8)] tracks the observed PDT activity, this contributes only a factor of ∼1.3, which is much smaller than the observed 16-fold effect. Furthermore, if the porphyrin series were extended to include a free base analogue (e.g., Φ_{isc} ~0.76 for **FbTPP** [21,43-46]), then a maximal enhancement of only a factor of ∼1.4 in PDT efficacy would be predicted across a series that ranges from metal-free to heavy-metal (e.g., palladium) porphyrins.

The enhanced spin-orbit coupling that underlies the greater $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ yields (Φ_{isc}) also underlies the 1000-fold greater $T_1 \rightarrow S_0$ radiative rate (k_p) for **2-Pd** versus **2-Zn** ([Table 2\).](#page-6-0) The impact would be significant if even a fraction of this factor contributes to the relative probabilities for energy (or electron) transfer from the T_1 excited state of the porphyrin to $3O₂$. The associated increased mixing of the T_1 and S_0 states should help drive the porphyrin from T_1 to S_0 and enhance energy transfer to 3O_2 . In principle, this could involve dipole–dipole coupling, electron–exchange interactions, or relaxation of spin restrictions. These effects are generally not realized, at least in the air-saturated solutions, because for a range of porphyrins the quantum yield of T_1 quenching by $3O_2$ is generally the same as the T_1 yield [\[25,38\].](#page-9-0)

On the other hand, it is possible that the situation is different in some biological milieu. The $O₂$ concentration may be sufficiently low that during the T_1 lifetime only a small fraction will encounter an O_2 molecule and/or that each collision is not effective in producing energy/electron transfer. In this case, enhanced T_1-S_0 coupling for **2-Pd** versus **2-Zn** would complement the small (1.3-fold) difference in triplet yields and play a role in the 16-fold greater PDT efficacy of the former versus the latter sensitizer.

For most sensitizers, energy transfer from the T_1 excited state of the sensitizer to $3O_2$ to produce the reactive $1O_2$ excited-singlet species is thought to play a role in PDT activity (Type II mechanism). For some sensitizers and some cellular environments, PDT efficacy is thought to be enhanced by photo-oxidation of the sensitizer via electron transfer from T_1 to 3O_2 and subsequent processes to give reactive species such as superoxide or hydroxyl radicals, even if produced in low yield (Type I mechanism). The potential roles of these various reactive oxygen species produced by the palladium bacteriochlorin sensitizer TOOKAD in different environments have been described [\[15,47\]. I](#page-9-0)f the rate and efficiency of the T_1 photooxidation step in the Type I mechanism is the limiting factor, then the PDT efficacy should track the excited-state oxidation potential of the sensitizer.

With regard to **2-Pd**and **2-Zn**, the excited-state oxidation potentials of these two sensitizers are comparable. This conclusion follows because palladium porphyrins are harder to oxidize than zinc porphyrins by 0.2–0.3 V in the ground state [\[48\], w](#page-9-0)hich is counterbalanced by the T_1 excited-state energies of the former being above those of the latter by ∼0.2 eV [\(Table 2\).](#page-6-0) On the other hand, palladium porphyrins are easier to reduce than zinc porphyrins by \sim 0.2 V in the ground state [\[48\], w](#page-9-0)hich complements the T₁ energy difference to make the excited state of **2-Pd** a more potent electron acceptor than **2-Zn** by ∼0.4 V. Thus if operable in the cellular environment, a two-step mechanism involving an exogenous electron donor and intermediate photoreduction of the porphyrin T_1 state prior to electron transfer to $3O₂$ could complement the modestly higher intersystem-crossing yield in enhancing the PDT activity of **2-Pd** versus the other sensitizers.

These considerations suggest that if the standard Type II electron-transfer mechanism (sensitizer T_1 photo-oxidation) plays a role in the difference in PDT activity of the **2-Pd** versus **2-Zn**, then other factors involved in the ultimate formation of the reactive radical species must come into play. One could be difference in the cellular sites of localization of the two sensitizers, which would also contribute to the relative PDT efficacies of the two compounds by the Type IImechanism. The importance of the immediate environment of a sensitizer in the relative yields of various reactive oxygen species (produced by energy or electron transfer) has been discussed in the context of studies of the palladium bacteriochlorin TOOKAD [\[15,47\].](#page-9-0) Given that **2-Pd**, **2-InCl**, and **2-Zn** have the same peripheral groups, attention must be focused on the ligation properties of the central metal ion. Palladium porphyrins do not acquire axial ligands with near the propensity as zinc porphyrins (**2-Pd** and **2-Zn**; [Fig. 2\).](#page-4-0) Indium porphyrins bind a negatively charged apical ligand. Thus, **2-Pd** will not ligate entities from the medium or biological milieu to near the extent as **2-Zn**, **1-Zn**, or **2-InCl**. Thus, the smaller hydrodynamic radius of the palladium porphyrin (compared to porphyrins with axial ligands), and reduced propensity for metal-derived interactions, may enable greater delivery of **2-Pd** to the target site, or perhaps the precise binding site itself. Such differences could be intertwined with the production of the ultimate reactive oxygen species. Collectively, the studies reported here provide a framework for understanding the factors that underlie the differences in observed PDT activity of the imidazolium-substituted (charged) zinc, palladium, and indium porphyrins.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (GM36238 to J.S.L. and AI050875 to M.R.H.). H.L.K. was

supported by the Imaging Sciences Pathway training grant from the NIH (5T90 DA022871) at Washington University.

References

- [1] P. Agostinis, E. Buytaert, H. Breyssens, N. Hendrickx, Regulatory pathways in photodynamic therapy induced apoptosis, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 3 (2004) 721–729.
- [2] M.R. Hamblin, T. Hasan, Photodynamic therapy: a new antimicrobial approach to infectious disease? Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 3 (2004) 436– 450.
- [3] D.E. Dolmans, D. Fukumura, R.K. Jain, Photodynamic therapy for cancer, Nat. Rev. Cancer 3 (2003) 380–387.
- [4] B. Krammer, Vascular effects of photodynamic therapy, Anticancer Res. 21 (2001) 4271–4277.
- [5] S.G. Rockson, D.P. Lorenz, W.F. Cheong, K.W. Woodburn, Photoangioplasty: an emerging clinical cardiovascular role for photodynamic therapy, Circulation 102 (2000) 591–596.
- [6] A.P. Castano, T.N. Demidova, M.R. Hamblin, Mechanisms in photodynamic therapy: part one-photosensitizers, photochemistry and cellular localization, Photodiag. Photodyn. Ther. 1 (2004) 279–293.
- [7] C.S. Foote, Definition of type I and type II photosensitized oxidation, Photochem. Photobiol. 54 (1991) 659.
- [8] E.S. Nyman, P.H. Hynninen, Research advances in the use of tetrapyrrolic photosensitizers for photodynamic therapy, J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 73 (2004) 1–28.
- [9] G.H. Rodal, S.K. Rodal, J. Moan, K. Berg, Liposome-bound Zn(II)-phthalocyanine. Mechanisms for cellular uptake and photosensitization, J. Photochem. Photobiol. B 45 (1998) 150–159.
- [10] S.J. Stern, J.R. Craig, S. Flock, S. Small, Effect of aspirin on photodynamic therapy utilizing chloroaluminum sulfonated phthalocyanine (CASP), Lasers Surg. Med. 12 (1992) 494–499.
- [11] M.J. Egorin, E.G. Zuhowski, D.L. Sentz, J.M. Dobson, P.S. Callery, J.L. Eiseman, Plasma pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution in CD_2F_1 mice of Pc4 (NSC 676418), a silicone phthalocyanine photodynamic sensitizing agent, Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 44 (1999) 283–294.
- [12] T.S. Mang, R. Allison, G. Hewson, W. Snider, R. Moskowitz, A phase II/III clinical study of tin ethyl etiopurpurin (Purlytin)-induced photodynamic therapy for the treatment of recurrent cutaneous metastatic breast cancer, Cancer J. Sci. Am. 4 (1998) 378–384.
- [13] T.A. Ciulla, M.H. Criswell, W.J. Snyder, W. Small, IV Photodynamic therapy with PhotoPoint photosensitiser MV6401, indium chloride methyl pyropheophorbide, achieves selective closure of rat corneal neovascularisation and rabbit choriocapillaris, Br. J. Ophthalmol. 89 (2005) 113–119.
- [14] P.F. Yeung, Motexafin lutetium (Pharmacyclics), Inv. Drugs 4 (2001) 351– 359.
- [15] Y. Vakrat-Haglili, L. Weiner, V. Brumfeld, A. Brandis, Y. Salomon, B. McIlroy, B.C. Wilson, A. Pawlak, M. Rozanowska, T. Sarna, A. Scherz, The microenvironment effect on the generation of reactive oxygen species by Pdbacteriopheophorbide, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127 (2005) 6487–6497.
- [16] O. Mazor, A. Brandis, V. Plaks, E. Neumark, V. Rosenbach-Belkin, Y. Salomon, A. Scherz, WST11 A novel water-soluble bacteriochlorophyll derivative; cellular uptake, pharmacokinetics, biodistribution and vascular-targeted photodynamic activity using melanoma tumors as a model, Photochem. Photobiol. 81 (2005) 342–351.
- [17] D.A. Caminos, M.B. Spesia, E.N. Durantini, Photodynamic inactivation of Escherichia coli by novel *meso*-substituted porphyrins by 4-(3-*N*,*N*,*N*trimethylammoniumpropoxy)phenyl and 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl groups, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 5 (2006) 56–65.
- [18] M.E. Milanesio, M.G. Alvarez, J.J. Silber, V. Rivarola, E.N. Durantini, Photodynamic activity of monocationic and non-charged methoxyphenylporphyrin derivatives in homogeneous and biological media, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2 (2003) 926–933.
- [19] J. Bhaumik, Z. Yao, K.E. Borbas, M. Taniguchi, J.S. Lindsey, Masked imidazolyldipyrromethanes in the synthesis of imidazole-substituted porphyrins, J. Org. Chem. 71 (2006) 8807–8817.
- [20] H.L. Kee, C. Kirmaier, L. Yu, P. Thamyongkit, W.J. Youngblood, M.E. Calder, L. Ramos, B.C. Noll, D.F. Bocian, W.R. Scheidt, R.R. Birge, J.S. Lindsey, D. Holten, Structural control of the photodynamics of boron–dipyrrin complexes, J. Phys. Chem. B 43 (2005) 20433–20443.
- [21] A.T. Gradyushko, A.N. Sevchenko, K.N. Solovyov, M.P. Tsvirko, Energetics of photophysical processes in chlorophyll-like molecules, Photochem. Photobiol. 11 (1970) 387–400.
- [22] P.G. Seybold, M. Gouterman, Porphyrins XIII. Fluorescence spectra and quantum yields, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 31 (1969) 1–13.
- [23] D. Magde, M.W. Windsor, D. Holten, M. Gouterman, Picosecond flash photolysis: transient absorption in Sn(IV), Pd(II), and Cu(II) porphyrins, Chem. Phys. Lett. 29 (1974) 183–188.
- [24] C.D. Tait, D. Holten, Effects of Mg-solvent coordination state on some excited state processes of bacteriochlorophyll a, Photobiochem. Photobiophys. 6 (1983) 201–209.
- [25] A. Wiehe, H. Stollberg, S. Runge, A. Paul, M.O. Senge, B. Röder, PDT-related photophysical properties of conformationally distorted palladium(II) porphyrins, J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines 5 (2001) 853–860.
- [26] J.M. Dixon, M. Taniguchi, S. Lindsey, PhotochemCAD 2: A refined program with accompanying spectral databases for photochemical calculations, Photochem. Photobiol. 81 (2005) 212–213.
- [27] K.E. Smith, Porphyrins and Metalloporphyrins, Elsevier Scientific, New York, 1975, 885 pp.
- [28] L.K. Hanson, J. Fajer, M.A. Thompson, M.C. Zerner, Electrochromic effects of charge separation in bacterial photosynthesis: theoretical models, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109 (1987) 4728–4730.
- [29] M. Gouterman, in: D. Dolphin (Ed.), The Porphyrins, vol. III, Academic Press, New York, 1978, pp. 1–165.
- [30] C. Tribet, I. Porcar, P.A. Bonnefont, R. Audebert, Association between hydrophobically modified polyanions and negatively charged bovine serum albumin, J. Phys. Chem. B 102 (1998) 1327–1333.
- [31] K. Tomizaki, R.S. Loewe, C. Kirmaier, J.K. Schwartz, J.L. Retsek, D.F. Bocian, D. Holten, J.S. Lindsey, Synthesis and photophysical properties of light-harvesting arrays comprised of a porphyrin bearing multiple perylene-monoimide accessory pigments, J. Org. Chem. 67 (2002) 6519–6534.
- [32] M. Gouterman, F.P. Schwarz, P.D. Smith, D. Dolphin, Porphyrins. XXVII. Spinorbit coupling and luminescence of Group IV complexes, J. Chem. Phys. 59 (1973) 676–690.
- [33] M.H. Perrin, M. Gouterman, Vibronic coupling. IV. Trimers and trigonal molecules, J. Chem. Phys. 46 (1967) 1019–1028.
- [34] A. Harriman, Luminescence of porphyrins and metalloporphyrins: Part 3—Heavy-atom effects, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 77 (1981) 1281–1291.
- [35] D. Eastwood, M. Gouterman, Porphyrins XVIII. Luminescence of (Co) (Ni), Pd, Pt complexes, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 35 (1970) 359–375.
- [36] J.M. Achord, C.L. Hussey, Determination of dissolved oxygen in nonaqueous electrochemical solvents, Anal. Chem. 52 (1980) 601–602.
- [37] W.P.Wilkinson,W.P. Helman, A.B. Ross, Quantum yields for the photosensitized formation of the lowest electronically excited singlet state of molecular oxygen in solution, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 22 (1993) 113–262.
- [38] W.R. Redmond, J.N. Gamlin, A compilation of singlet oxygen yields from biologically relevant molecules, Photochem. Photobiol. 70 (1999) 391–475.
- [39] J.N. Demas, E.W. Harris, R.P. McBride, Energy transfer from luminescent transition metal complexes to oxygen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99 (1977) 3547–3551.
- [40] J.B. Birks, Photophysics of Aromatic Molecules, Wiley-Interscience, London, 1970, pp. 492–517.
- [41] O.L.J. Gijzeman, F. Kaufman, G. Porter, Oxygen quenching of aromatic triplet states in solution. Part 1, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2 (69) (1973) 708–720.
- [42] W.R. Ware, Oxygen quenching of fluorescence in solution: an experimental study of the diffusion process, J. Phys. Chem. 66 (1962) 455–458.
- [43] K. Kikuchi, Y. Kurabayashi, H. Kokubun, Y. Kaizu, H. Kobayashi, Triplet yields of some tetraphenylporphyrins and octaethylporphyrins, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A 45 (1988) 261–263.
- [44] Y. Kajii, K. Obi, I. Tanaka, S. Tobita, Isotope effects on radiationless transitions from the lowest excited state of tetraphenylporphin, Chem. Phys. Lett. 111 (1984) 347–348.
- [45] R. Bonnett, D.J. McGarvey, A. Harriman, E.J. Land, T.G. Truscott, U.-J. Winfield, Photophysical properties of meso-tetraphenylporphyrin and some mesotetra(hydroxyphenyl)porphyrins, Photochem. Photobiol. 48 (1988) 271–276.
- [46] S. Reindl, A. Penzkofer, Triplet quantum yield determination by picosecond laser double-pulse fluorescence excitation, Chem. Phys. 213 (1996) 429–438.
- [47] Y. Posen, V. Kalchenko, R. Seger, A. Brandis, A. Scherz, Y. Salomon, Manipulation of redox signaling in mammalian cells enabled by controlled photogeneration of reactive oxygen species, J. Cell Sci. 118 (2005) 1957–1969.
- [48] R.H. Felton, in: D. Dolphin (Ed.), The Porphyrins, vol. V, Academic Press, New York, 1978, pp. 5–125.
- [49] A.T. Gradyshko, M.P. Tsvirko, Probabilities for intercombination transitions in porphyrin and metalloporphyrin molecules, Opt. Spectrosc. 31 (1971) 291–295.
- [50] J.K. Hurley, N. Sinai, H. Linschitz, Actinometry in monochromatic flash photolysis: the extinction coefficient of triplet benzophenone and quantum yield of triplet zinc tetraphenyl porphyrin, Photochem. Photobiol. 38 (1983) 9–14.
- [51] D.J. Quimby, F.R. Longo, Luminescence studies on several tetraarylporphins and their zinc derivatives, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97 (1975) 5111–5117.
- [52] J.B. Callis, M. Gouterman, Y.M. Jones, B.H. Henderson, Porphyrins XXII: fast fluorescence, delayed fluorescence, and quasiline structure in palladium and platinum complexes, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 39 (1971) 410–420.